Machine Translation with Type Theory and Functional Programming

Aarne Ranta Ramona Enache

Lexical:





Syntactic:





Syntactic:









It is not possible to make a perfect machine translator!

Most tools deal with lexical and partial syntactic correctness when doing machine translation !

Statistical machine translation

- mathematical models inspired by information theory
- rely on large corpora of aligned data
- achieve
 - good lexical quality, depending on the choice of corpora

 n-gram model for syntactic and semantic correctness - works for short phrases

Statistical machine translation

- most popular nowadays
- state-of-the-art : Google translate

Pros

-model applies for all languages

-fully automatic

-model applies for all kinds of text

Cons

-often not syntactically correct-dependent on the corpora-not customised to a given language

Rule-based machine translation

- inspired by formal languages
- relies on building a grammar for the language
- usually domain-specific
- achieve
 - good syntactic and semantic correctness

Rule-based machine translation

Pros

-customised to given language -syntactically correct translations

Cons

-more manual work involved-little coverage-only work for a given domain

Rule-based machine translation

Rule-based machine translation + functional programming

Rule-based machine translation + functional programming + type theory

Rule-based machine translation
 + functional programming
 + type theory

= GF

- grammar formalism for describing natural languages
- functional language with support for advanced features of type theory
- approaches machine translation from a programming languages view
- relies on
 - an abstract syntax interlingua
 - many concrete syntaxes target languages(16 currently)

<u>Abstract syntax</u> - first-order type theory

```
• parameters - data types
```

```
Gender = Masc | Fem ;
```

• lexical categories - data structures

```
Noun = {s : Number => Str; g : Gender}
```

Abstract syntax - advanced features of type theory

- dependent types semantic constraints
 isCapitalOf : El City -> El Country -> Formula ;
- higher-order syntax

```
reflexiveRelation : (c : Class) ->
  (El c -> El c -> Formula) -> Formula ;
```

<u>Abstract syntax</u> - advanced features of type theory

> semantic definitions data zero : Nat ; data succ : Nat -> Nat ; fun plus : Nat -> Nat -> Nat ; def plus zero n = n ; def plus (succ m) n = succ (plus m n) ;

Concrete syntax

- support for regular expressions, for complex pattern matching
- functional programming without recursion
- function overloading
- allows code sharing through interfaces
- allows code reuse functional core

GF - solutions

• translations are syntactically correct, due to the specific treatment of each language in its concrete syntax module

- translations are semantically correct for a given domain, due to the use of the abstract syntax as semantic interlingua
- incremental parsing for word completion and authoring of constructions
- user interaction demo

GF - solutions

grammars are portable and usable as software libraries
 PGF - runtime binary format, encoding of the abstract syntax + concrete syntaxes

interpreters for PGF - Haskell, JavaScript, Java

uses - web applications, Android applications, ...

GF - solutions

less manual effort :

use of general purpose existing libraries to build new application grammars

easier to test and debug

 functional programming - less code, more readable, easier to write and maintain

learning grammars from examples - for non-programmers

GF - future

• European project MOLTO, FP7-ICT-247914 :

combine GF with statistical methods - increase robustness

Iarge coverage for given domains - mathematics

exercises, patents, art and museums to write

 make GF programming accessible to all categories of users for writing their own grammars

GF - demo

Tourist Phrasebook for 14 languages

 high lexical, syntactic and semantic quality
 automatic treatment of ambiguities
 user interface - incremental parsing